Office-Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
JUL 14 1969
JOHN F. DAVIS, CLERK

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1969.

No. 341

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH, INC.

Petitioner,

vs.

DONALD W. BUTTREY, TRUSTEE IN BANKRUPTCY FOR DOBICH SECURITIES CORPORATION,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT.

ALAN W. BOYD,
THOMAS M. SCANLON,
JAMES A. MCDERMOTT,
1313 Merchants Bank Building,
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204,
Attorneys for Petitioner.

BARNES, HICKAM, PANTZER & BOYD,
1313 Merchants Bank Building,
Indianapolis, Indiana 46204,
Of Counsel.

THE GUNTHORP-WARREN PRINTING COMPANY, CHICAGO

INDEX.

	PAGE
Opinions Below	2
Jurisdiction	2
Questions Presented for Review	2
Statutes, Rules and Regulations Involved	3
Statement of the Case	4
Including Basis for Federal Jurisdiction	4
Argument	10
Reasons for Granting the Writ With Respect to the First Question	10
Reasons for Granting the Writ With Respect to the Second Question	24
Conclusion	33
Appendix I	A1
Appendix II	A18
Appendix III	A24
Appendix IV	A26

Table of Authorities.

Decisions.

Barnes v. Schatzkin, 215 App. Div. 10, 212 N. Y. S. 536 (1st Dep't 1925), aff'd per curiam, 242 N. Y. 555, 152 N. E. 424 (1926), cert. den. 273 U. S. 709 (1926) 10, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24

Colonial Realty v. Bache & Co., 1961-1964 CCH Fed. Sec. L. Rep., ¶ 91351 (S. D. N. Y. 1964) 33

Colonial Realty Co. v. Bache & Co., 358 F. 2d 178, 182 (2d Cir. 1966), cert. den. 385 U. S. 817 (1966) 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 31, 32, 33

Connally v. General Const. Co., 269 U. S. 385, 391 (1926) 30

DeRenzis v. Levy, 297 F. Supp. 998 (S. D. N. Y. 1969) 26, 28

Fore Improvement Corp. v. Selig, 278 F. 2d 143, 145 (2d Cir. 1960) 13

Hecht v. Harris, Upham & Co., 283 F. Supp. 417, 430, 431 (N. D. Cal. 1968) 29, 30-31

Interstate Circuit, etc. v. Dobbs, 390 U. S. 676 (1968) .. 30

O'Neill v. Maytag, 339 F. 2d 764, 770 (2d Cir. 1964) ... 25

Pettit v. American Stock Exchange, 217 F. Supp. 21 (S. D. N. Y. 1963) ..... 8 fn., 22, 23

Small Co. v. American Sugar Refining Co., 267 U. S. 233, 239 (1925) 30

United States v. Cohen Grocery Co., 255 U. S. 81, 65 L. Ed. 520-521 (1921) 30

OTHER AUTHORITIES.

3 Collier on Bankruptcy (14th ed.), p. 1173, n. 19 20

4A Collier on Bankruptcy (14th ed.), 1967, pp. 27-47, 149, 379, 385 ..... 11, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 24

66 Columbia Law Review (1966) 33

House of Representatives Report, No. 12889, 74th Cong. 2d Sess. (1936) 20

Loss, Securities Regulations (1961 ed.) 32

39 Harvard Law Review, p. 768 15

National Ass'n of Securities Dealers Rules 30

New York Stock Exchange Guide, 2 CCH, NYSE Guide, ¶ 2405 29

U. S. Constitution and Statutes.

U. S. Const. Art. I, § 8 10

28 U. S. C. § 1254(1) 2

28 U. S. C. § 1292(b) 2, 4

28 U. S. C. § 2101(c) 2

28 U. S. C. § 1332 4

Bankruptcy Act:

11 U. S. C. § 96 19

11 U. S. C. § 110(a) 11, 13

11 U. S. C. § 110(c) A27

11 U. S. C. § 110(e) (1) and (2) 8, 10, 11, 13

Bankruptcy Act, July 1, 1898 (30 Stat. 565, c. 541, U. S. Comp. Stats. § 9654):

Section 70(a) 16

Section 70(e) 16

Securities Exchange Act of 1934:

15 U. S. C. § 78f (a) to (d) 6, A29

15 U. S. C. § 78j (b) A31

15 U. S. C. § 779 6

15 U. S. C. § 78s (b) A31

15 U. S. C. § 78aa 4, 6

15 U. S. C. § 77v 4

Regulations of the Securities & Exchange Commission:

Rule 10(b)5 ..... 6, 28

Rule 17(a)5 7

IN THE

Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1969.

No. .....

MERRILL LYNCH, PIERCE, FENNER & SMITH, INC.

Petitioner,

vs.

DONALD W. BUTTREY, TRUSTEE IN BANKRUPTCY FOR DOBICH SECURITIES CORPORATION,

Respondent.

PETITION FOR WRIT OF CERTIORARI TO THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SEVENTH CIRCUIT.

Petitioner, Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc. prays that a writ of certiorari issue to review the judgment of the Court of Appeals for the Seventh Circuit entered in this case on April 17, 1969. The judgment affirmed an order of the District Court for the Southern District of Indiana, Indianapolis Division, denying petitioner's motion for summary judgment with respect to Counts I, II and III of respondent's complaint.